

God. It may be that our children will not always discern the blessings of God on the faith of their fathers, but if they doubt the wrath of God on those who forget that faith and desert its social corollaries, then let them advert to the military, economic and political preoccupation which were left behind us at the doors of this hall and to which all too soon we must return. No defense of the Massachusetts heritage and of the values which it taught our forefathers could be more effective than the present straits to which certain new prophets, contemptuous of faith and freedom alike, have reduced the world.

Hence the great importance of the positive work of education to which this month the Massachusetts Bar Association has dedicated itself. I pray that the education campaign in all our schools will have as its result an increased respect on the part of our children for public authority and particularly for the majesty of the courts. In this connection we who preach and who hold public posts have an urgent obligation to give the best possible example by emphasizing the dignity of public office, the sanctity of public authority, and the legitimate title to special respect of those who wield it in the executive, legislative, or the judicial branches.

You and I do not believe in the divine right of kings as did the Absolutists, but there is still a divinity hangs about a king if only because he holds authority which comes from God. We, who prefer democratic traditions pay no tribute to democracy when we strip the wielders of civil authority of a dignity and even a divinity which surrounds them, too, so long as they hold as the designees of the people an authority which still comes from God. We must not forget this simply because they sometimes forget it themselves. Perhaps our public officials will be more mindful of the truly sacred character of their trust when those who elect them have been taught in our schools the divine roots and the sanctity of these trusts and have thus become a little more reverent about public authority and a little more wary, therefore, about those to whom they commit it.

In any case, God's standard requires that even in a democracy we think twice and twice again before we threaten public order by pot shooting at the persons or the policies of those who duly hold public office. It is sound democracy to point out that a President or a justice is perhaps no better a man than any one of us, but it is bad morality and bad democracy to forget what the Presidency is and what the majesty and dignity of the office of a judge. Even democracy punishes contempt of court no matter what the private merits of the man who is the judge.

All these things and much more besides the Massachusetts Heritage Month will teach our children. May they learn the lessons well, among them the lesson of how in the Massachusetts tradition we look to the church to inspire us concerning our divine origins and destiny; we look to the school to transmit the knowledge that our fathers developed from reason and revelation; we look to the courts to preserve and protect us in the exercise of the rights and duties arising from these. It is particularly important that the children learn these things at a time when so many and sometimes necessary impulses direct them to look to the heritage of other peoples to learn new wisdom. It is well that for at least a month each year we should direct their attention to the heritage of their own people to meditate the old sanity. During this month reminding them of the Massachusetts Heritage, teach them that they do not need to journey far afield to learn how to build the good society.

This is a good place that we have here. It has firm, humane, divine foundations. Let them begin to plan their future in the light of the lessons of their own heritage here.

The March of Bigotry in the United States

EXTENSION OF REMARKS

OF

HON. IRVING M. IVES

OF NEW YORK

IN THE SENATE OF THE UNITED STATES

Thursday, May 5, 1955

Mr. IVES. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent to have printed in the Appendix of the RECORD the third and fourth articles entitled "Hate, Inc.," written by Mr. Jack Steele, of the Scripps-Howard newspapers. I inserted Mr. Steele's first two articles in the RECORD of May 3, and they appear on pages A2972 and A2973.

These articles deal with the march of bigotry in the United States. They are most interesting and illuminating, and have been appearing in recent issues of the Washington Daily News.

There being no objection, the articles were ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

[From the Washington Daily News of May 4, 1955]

HATE, INC.

(By Jack Steele)

A NEW GENERATION OF HATE HAWKERS

Some of the hatemongers who flourished in the 1930's have retired or gone to seed, but new ones have grabbed up their besmirched banners.

Of these newcomers some were lieutenants or disciples of pre-World War II bigots. Others, with curious ease, drifted into the hate business from military service—sometimes after a taste of wartime intelligence work.

Still others turned aside from respected careers as their prejudices suddenly erupted from long-hidden wellsprings.

Here are some of the postwar neophytes in the hate cabal:

Conde McGinley

An oldtime admirer of Father Charles E. Coughlin, Mr. McGinley began his activities in 1946 with a little paper called Think. He soon changed its name to the equally inappropriate Common Sense.

Mr. McGinley and Common Sense—which now boasts it is the most widely circulated paper of its kind in the world—were prime targets of the House Un-American Activities Committee's staff report on neo-Fascist and hate groups issued in December.

The report had this to say about Common Sense: "The most vitriolic hate propaganda ever to come to the attention of the committee."

It described Mr. McGinley as "a modern example of the racketeers who made a business out of un-American hate propaganda during the 1930's."

Common Sense is a fountainhead for anti-Semitic and anti-Negro propaganda. Its abusive articles are widely quoted and reprinted by other hate sheets. Other rabble-rousers, old and new, find it a ready market for their diatribes.

Mr. McGinley last year spread his hate into politics and public affairs.

Issues of Common Sense were trucked into Maine in a futile effort to defeat Senator MARGARET CHASE SMITH, Republican.

Material put out by Mr. McGinley's Christian Educational Association was used against Senator CLIFFORD P. CASE, Republican, in the New Jersey campaign.

Special anti-Negro issues of Common Sense were circulated in areas where school strikes were staged against the Supreme Court's antisegregation decision.

Mr. McGinley pulled all stops with a newspaper-size broadside entitled "The Coming Red Dictatorship." It featured pictures of 36 prominent Jews, many of them officials of the Eisenhower administration.

Its headlines screamed: "Asiatic Marxist Jews control entire world as last world war commences—thousands of plotters placed in key positions by invisible government."

Mr. McGinley, in a recent fund appeal, boasted that he had printed and distributed 470,000 copies of this document from his Union, N. J., headquarters. He ended the appeal with the assurance that names of contributors would be kept secret.

The Un-American Activities Committee summed up its report on Mr. McGinley this way: "It is regrettable that any American may have contributed to the perpetuation of such a hate factory."

An all-Christian jury in Newark, N. J., last month awarded Rabbi Joachim Prinz a \$30,000 verdict in a libel suit against Mr. McGinley. The award included \$25,000 punitive damages. The jury held that Dr. Prinz had been labeled falsely as a "Red" in Common Sense. He headed the Jewish community in Berlin before being driven out by the Nazis.

Robert H. Williams

This California pamphleteer and hate-sheet editor parades his bigotry under the guise of "intelligence." He advertises that his former service as an Air Force counter-intelligence officer makes his publications, vital for governors, mayors, police and "citizens interested in preventing sabotage and revolution."

But the Un-American Activities Committee reported that his intelligence duty consisted of less than a year as administrative officer for a bomber wing—a post where it said he could have received no information about "the Communist conspiracy."

The Air Force canceled his Reserve commission in 1950 for the best interest of the service.

His Williams Intelligence Summary is openly anti-Semitic. It is billed as "a monthly report on political and military developments, especially revealing the Jewish influence in these developments."

His pamphlet Know Your Enemy opens with the declaration: "This publication attacks no man because of his race, but it spares no subverter because of his race."

In it Mr. Williams suggests that all Jews should be interned in New York and strategic areas. Then, he argues, these areas would not be bombed by the Soviet.

Some of his phantasies are even more outrageous. He has charged that Jewish Gen. Curtis LeMay arranged to drop the first 2 atomic bombs on the "only 2 sizable Christian centers in Japan."

Mr. Williams reported in a recent fund-begging letter that he was running in the red and might have to quit.

"Have I failed in giving you the earth-shaking truth?" he asked.

"I can't believe it, for I find not a line in recent newspapers and magazines about the greatest news story of all time; the swift destruction of the Anglo-Nordic West, the Christian civilization, through the trickery and financial power of a handful of Jewish manipulators who have all but made themselves masters of the world."

Frank L. Britton

Also a Californian, Mr. Britton is one of the smoothest of the new hate peddlers.

His sheet, The American Nationalist, is a tabloid, printed on slick paper and splashed with color. Its format easily might be mistaken for the two most widely read publications in the Los Angeles area where he operates—Variety and The Hollywood Reporter.

Mr. Britton also runs a boycott campaign against Jews through a front group called the New Confederates. His color comic strips

feature Uncle Sam in a pose copied from familiar "I Want You" recruiting posters. In Britton's comics, Uncle Sam offers advice like "Buy Gentile" and "Boycott Jew Stores."

John O. Beaty

Mr. Beaty is professor of English at Southern Methodist University in Dallas. As a war-time colonel in Army intelligence, he helped prepare daily G-2 reports for the White House.

But his book *The Iron Curtain Over America* has been described by a Methodist minister, the Reverend Ralph L. Roy as "the most extensive piece of anti-Semitic literature in the history of America's racist movement."

Issued in 1951, it has gone through 15 printings and sold more than 50,000 copies. Most newspapers failed to review it and bookstores seldom display it, but it is constantly plugged by hate-sheets.

Gerald L. K. Smith called it "the most sensational book of this generation" and paid Mr. Beaty and his publisher \$3,767 in 1952 for copies Mr. Smith presumably resold.

Mr. Beaty denies that he is anti-Semitic. In "Iron Curtain" he explains why. Its theme is that most European and American Jews are not Jews but "Khazars."

His theory—also endorsed by some other haters—is that these Jews are descended from a Mongol tribe known as Khazars who adopted Judaism.

(Reputable historians say this is bunk. They agree that the Khazar tribe was scattered or destroyed centuries ago.)

But Mr. Beaty soberly parades the Khazar thesis. After an opening chapter glorifying Prussian militarism, he blames the Khazars for the New Deal, World War II, Communist subversion, and what not. And in footnotes he quotes such authorities as Mr. McGinley's *Common Sense*.

Charges that he is anti-Semitic are absurd, he maintains, since "the Khazar Jew is himself not a Semite."

Mr. Beaty's attack on Jews—whether Khazars or Semites—are not confined to this book. Hate sheets carried letters from him last fall declaring that Christmas had become a buying orgy in which money passes from Christian into non-Christian hands.

Jack B. Tenney

Former chairman of the California Committee on Un-American Activities, Mr. Tenney is a recent convert to the hate brigade. He lost his seat in the State senate last year after a campaign in which he attacked Jews.

He once called Gerald L. K. Smith a racial agitator, but in 1952 was the vice presidential nominee of Mr. Smith's Christian Nationalist Party. Mr. Smith contributed to his campaign and Mr. Tenney now writes for Mr. Smith's, *The Cross and the Flag*.

Mr. Tenney also denies that he is anti-Semitic. But he has written three pamphlets attacking Jews; *Zion's Fifth Column*, *Zionist Network*, and *Zion's Trojan Horse*.

Eustace Mullins

Mr. Mullins is a free lancer of hate. A Virginian in his early thirties, his bigoted articles have appeared since 1951 in *Common Sense* and other hate sheets.

In 1952 an article entitled "Adolf Hitler: An Appreciation" appeared under his byline in the *Bulletin of the National Renaissance Party*, since branded by the Un-American Activities Committee as "neo-Fascist."

Mr. Mullins worked briefly for the Library of Congress as a photographic assistant. He was let out in 1952 after Library officials learned of his bias.

[From the Washington Daily News of May 5, 1955]

HATE, INC.

(By Jack Steele)

THE RELIGIOUS BIGOTS SPOUT THE SAME LINE

Prejudice is a disease that infects people of every religion, creed, and race.

There is no common denominator to help classify the sources—any more than the targets—of the voices of bigotry now being raised in the United States.

Individual Catholics, Protestants, and Jews are among those who cook up hate and abuse, just as these groups are among its victims.

A Protestant bigot, Edward James Smythe, is credited with this bit of doggerel which illustrates how the freebooters of scurrility attack every other group and then cloak their hate with some real or imagined menace:

"God bless America.

The Jews own it.

The Catholics run it.

The Negroes enjoy it.

The Protestants founded it."

But the Communists will destroy it.

The hate fanatics use religion as others do patriotism and anticommunism as a cover for their bigotry. One hater, Elizabeth Dilling, even invented the alias of the Reverend Frank Woodruff Johnson as a byline for some of her "literature."

Here are several of the fanatics who are spreading suspicion and loathing today:

Father Leonard A. Feeney

An excommunicated Catholic priest, Father Feeney for several years has run noisy hate rallies on the Boston Common with a little band of disciples he calls "slaves of the Immaculate Heart of Mary."

He might be written off as a minor local nuisance except that:

He puts out a vitriolic little sheet *The Point* which he mails—with or without request—to a growing list of Catholics.

Groups of his followers have sprung up, and have had brushes with the law, in several other eastern cities.

Father Feeney aims his hate at Protestants and the Catholic hierarchy, but mostly at Jews.

A recent issue of *The Point* denounced the Catholic Church for deserting the "ancient dogma" that all Jews are eternally "cursed" and criticized leading Catholics by name for associating with Jews.

It hailed the Spanish Inquisition as a "glorious institution."

In another issue, Father Feeney—using materials lifted from other hate sheets—sought to label the Jews as "the secret strength of communism." "Jews are behind communism."

Titles of other recent articles in *The Point* include *American Gentile* and the Jews, *Uncle Sam* and the anti-Semites and the *Monkeys and the Jews*.

The Point often attacks Protestants and protestantism. Last fall it denounced Massachusetts Gov. Christian Herter, Republican, on grounds that he was a Mason. It has suggested that it is an unqualified mortal sin for Catholics to see the movie *Martin Luther*.

Father Feeney was a gifted author. Once literary editor of the Catholic monthly *America* he has written pleasant poetry and a widely read little book titled "Fish on Friday."

The Catholic Church has done all in its power to discipline him since he shifted to bigotry.

Archbishop Richard Cushing unfrocked him for preaching the doctrine that there is no salvation for non-Catholics. The Jesuit Order expelled him. The Pope finally excommunicated him.

His headquarters, St. Benedict's Center, once was a study house for Catholic students at Harvard. Now Catholics are forbidden to enter it.

Rev. Gerald B. Winrod

This man ranks close to Gerald L. K. Smith as an old pro. For more than 20 years Winrod has blended bigotry with Protestant evangelism and made it pay handsomely.

He started out attacking Catholics. After a visit to Hitler's Germany in 1935, he took up anti-Semitism. He was linked with most of the prewar hatemongers and was a defendant with them in the sedition mistrial.

In 1940 Reverend Winrod was accused by the House Un-American Activities Committee of joining forces with George Deathridge and others to form a united Fascist movement.

He still operates from Wichita, Kans., an organization he calls *The Defenders of the Christian Faith*.

During the postwar recession in bigotry, Reverend Winrod soft-pedaled some of his venom. He devoted his monthly *Defender* magazine—which has an estimated circulation of 100,000—largely to sermons, Sunday school lessons and religious tracts. He went in heavily for plugging alleged cures for cancer and other diseases—cures which some medical authorities have branded as dubious or worse.

He also began to pay more attention to his *Defender* Missions, chiefly in Puerto Rico where he operates a seminary and several hundred chapels and puts out a Spanish edition of his magazine called *El Defensor Hispanol*. He even opened a mission last year in the Near East to evangelize the Arabs.

Reverend Winrod returned to blatant bigotry in the 1952 campaign when he joined the hue and cry of the smearers against President Eisenhower. He charged that Ike was the choice of the international Jewish banking fraternity for President.

Among his fund-raising gimmicks are "prayer-circle letters" mailed to his followers. A recent letter offered to send contributors copies of a brochure by John O. Beaty answering "attacks on him, me, and others calling us members of the Protestant underground."

Rev. Harvey H. Springer

"Cowboy" Springer is another of the flock of Protestant fundamentalists who also preach hate. Since 1935 he has spread evangelism and bigotry from his headquarters, the Baptist Tabernacle at Englewood, Colo., a suburb of Denver.

He is a hellfire and brimstone preacher who often makes evangelical tours of the South. He also is a shrewd businessman who has formed many organizations to foster his activities. They include the Rocky Mountain Evangelistic Association, the Soul Winning Bible Institute, the Protestant Information Bureau, and the American Protestant League.

He operates a 160-acre Christian Youth Roundup Ranch near Denver and has incorporated a \$250,000 stock-selling venture for a home for the aged.

Reverend Springer, who is credited with once saying that Catholicism was a worse menace than communism, has developed a split psychosis over Senator JOSEPH R. McCARTHY, Republican, of Wisconsin.

A recent editorial in his hate sheet *The Western Voice* developed the theme that a religious controversy was raging over Senator McCARTHY. One side argued that Senator McCARTHY is the only barrier against communism, he wrote, and the other that Senator McCARTHY is a tool of the Pope.

"There is some merit on both sides of the discussion," Reverend Springer wrote, carrying water on two shoulders.

Reverend Springer, constantly has attacked Catholics. For a while he abandoned anti-Semitism, but recently has been assailing Jews again.

Gerald Smith explained Reverend Springer's change of heart in an article in *The Cross and the Flag* titled "Prodigal Returns."

He wrote that Reverend Springer, after assuring him years ago he "understood the Jewish problem," later had a resolution adopted in his church condemning anti-Semitism. Gloating that Reverend Springer

now was "taking swings at Jews again," Mr. Smith added:
 "So, God bless you Harvey. Welcome home."

Benjamin H. Freedman

Mr. Freedman is a wealthy, retired cosmetics manufacturer.

His association with hate publicists has been inexplicable and controversial.

He sided with the Arabs in the feud over the creation of the state of Israel.

He has insisted that he is not anti-Semitic but only anti-Zionist, meaning anti-Israel.

He has contributed money and articles to the stridently anti-Semitic hate-sheet Common Sense. And he is widely quoted and praised by some racists—often in an effort to prove they are not anti-Semitic.

Mr. Freedman was the prime mover in the abortive attempt to block confirmation of Mrs. Anna M. Rosenberg as Assistant Defense Secretary. Active in the same cause were Gerald Smith and Mr. Smith's legislative representative, Wesley Swift.

Mr. Freedman witnessed the statements—alleging that Mrs. Rosenberg once had been a member of a Communist-front group—which induced the Senate Armed Services Committee to reopen hearings on her confirmation. It all turned out to be a flimsy case of mistaken identity.

Under questioning by the committee, Mr. Freedman admitted he had given more than \$15,000 to Conde McGinley to finance Common Sense.

He later turned out a longwinded account of his role in the case and, writing in third person, explained his relations with Mr. McGinley as follows:

"In 1948 Freedman met McGinley. They found they had much in common. Freedman became interested in Common Sense as one of the most aggressive organizations fighting Marxism (communism) * * *. Since 1948 Freedman has given unsparingly of his time and effort to increase the circulation of Common Sense and has advanced a small fortune for that purpose."

Mr. McGinley recently returned the compliment in a fund-begging letter in which he lamented that Mr. Freedman was no longer able to help finance Common Sense.

"Mr. Freedman was the pioneer in awakening people to the Zionist conspiracy," Mr. McGinley wrote. "He is one of the few most valuable patriots alive."

Facts Forum Public Opinion Poll

EXTENSION OF REMARKS OF

HON. GEORGE A. SMATHERS

OF FLORIDA

IN THE SENATE OF THE UNITED STATES

Thursday, May 5, 1955

Mr. SMATHERS. Mr. President, Facts Forum conducts from month to month a public opinion poll in order to find out what people are thinking about current issues.

From time to time the results of this poll have been published in the Appendix of the RECORD as being of especial interest to the Members of Congress.

I have received the tabulation of the final results of the February poll, which deals with 14 questions, some of which are major issues before the Nation today. All of them, I am sure, will prove stimulating as well as interesting.

Therefore I ask unanimous consent that this tabulation be published in the Appendix of the RECORD.

There being no objection, the tabulation was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

	Percentage Yes
Should we substitute an executive council for the Presidency?.....	8
Does the United States need constitutional protection from treaty law?....	81
Is communism gaining more in cold war than is possible in hot war?.....	83
Is it really un-American for press, radio, and TV to suppress news?.....	74
Have we lost control of our Government to unelected officials?.....	64
Should the public schools accept aid from the Federal Government?.....	30
Is calling our economic system the free-enterprise system a misnomer?.....	49
Can America defend itself without allies?.....	53
Will there be another stock-market crash like 1929?.....	21
Should we blockade Red China as Senator KNOWLAND recommends?.....	72
Would one-world government prevent war?.....	8
Is foreign-aid spending a part of the plan to destroy the United States of America?.....	67
Did the recent Senate censure hearings change your opinion of Senator MCCARTHY?.....	7
Are Communists in the United States conniving to promote juvenile delinquency?.....	83

Price Supports for Basic Commodities

SPEECH OF

HON. JAMIE L. WHITTEN

OF MISSISSIPPI

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, May 4, 1955

The House in Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union had under consideration the bill (H. R. 12) to amend the Agricultural Act of 1949, as amended, with respect to price supports for basic commodities.

Mr. WHITTEN. Mr. Chairman, I, too, regret that the time is limited so that we cannot go into this subject fully and completely. Involved in this amendment is the whole price-support program.

Mr. Chairman, it is not my desire to condemn anyone here. The candy manufacturers want cheap supplies, though they do not promise to pass on to the consumer any savings they might have from reduced peanut prices. It is always quite natural for people to try to secure cheap raw materials or to buy cheap, as they are doing. I suspect it is increased profits they are really interested in. If we here today could have the income, as individuals, that we do have and if the cost of living was like it used to be, we would be well off. It just will not work that way. We are dealing with people when we deal with the farm program. When virtually everything else is protected we cannot leave the farmers' prices or income out without bankrupting him. We left the farmer out until about 20 years ago.

During the period of history of this country when we were leaving agricultural commodities without protection,

we were providing minimum wages and protective tariffs for others; and we exhausted one-half of the natural resources of the country. We wore the land out. The farmer's lot was a hard one. The price support program was passed in an effort to assure at least some fair return to the farmer. I think all will agree that only under the farm program have agricultural people been able to live somewhat like others.

Mr. Chairman, I repeat, involved here is not peanuts as such. Involved here is a segment of the American people with low incomes, whose livelihood depends upon the growing of peanuts, and a fair return for them. If we do not pass this bill, we make bad matters worse. We would thereby injure the farmer without in any way helping the consumer. The difference to the farmer would be a reduction in his present low income of about 16 percent, but to the purchaser of a bar of candy it would mean only about one mill and there is nothing to show such mill would be passed on to the consumer. You know it would not be.

Farm program opponents are making headway to destroy it. May I say this. Already those farmers, or many of them producing perishable commodities, since section 32 funds are used to support and export their products, believe they have no interest in retaining farm supports on basic commodities. And yet they will later find that agricultural programs even for them will stand or fall with the whole farm program.

If farmers growing peanuts are then separated by this amendment from other farm commodities, then wheat, cotton and the rest will feel the effects, too.

The farm program is essential to the welfare of the Nation. Only by a reasonable income can the farmer put back into the soil a share of what he takes out. His income today is terribly low. The President says we must do something for him. There are only two real ways to help, to increase his acreage and to increase his price. To justify increasing production, we must sell; and the Department of Agriculture won't sell. Then when we try to help even a little bit with price, the administration is here opposing that.

President Eisenhower says we must help the small farmers. These are small farmers with an average of less than \$1,500 gross per year income. Now is the time to at least hold what they have.

Life Behind the Iron Curtain—Status of Women in the Soviet Union

EXTENSION OF REMARKS OF

HON. FREDERICK G. PAYNE

OF MAINE

IN THE SENATE OF THE UNITED STATES

Thursday, May 5, 1955

Mr. PAYNE. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that two columns by May Craig, Washington correspondent for the Guy Gannett newspapers in